A THIRD HYPOTHESIS FOR THE ETYMOLOGY OF THE PORTUGUESE ATÉ

Mário Eduardo VIARO¹

□ RESUMO: Duas hipóteses são correntes para explicar a preposição portuguesa "até": uma delas a associaria ao árabe, enquanto a outra seria o advérbio latino "tenus", raramente utilizado. A nova hipótese apresentada neste artigo – latim "intro" / "intra" – tem uma maior distribuição entre as línguas românicas além de resolver algumas irregularidades de aspecto fonético diacrônico, presentes em ambas as explicações.

D PALAVRAS-CHAVE: Lingüística histórica; preposição; português.

Short words can cause big problems in the historical analysis. The Portuguese adverb and preposition *até* is normally connected with the Spanish *hasta* by the Arabic *hatta*, which has the same meaning. However, another completely distinct etymon, that is to say, **ad-tenus*, was suggested as an alternative form to explain the Portuguese *até*. In this paper I will propose a third one

The acceptance of each one of those etymons will lead to complex phonetic questions. If the Arabic *hatta* is analyzed, the following problems emerge:

– The initial \hbar - is a voiceless pharyngeal consonant in Arabic, which normally becomes an f- in Portuguese ($\hbar urr > forro; al-\hbar alwa > alféloa$). In Spanish, the same sound became an f- or an aspirated h-. There are no examples of an initial pharyngeal Arabic \hbar - that was omitted by aphaeresis. In Spanish, there

¹ Departamento de Letras Clássicas e Vernáculas – Faculdade de Filosofia, Letras e Ciências Humanas-USP, 05508-900 – São Paulo-SP, Brazil. E-mail: maeviaro@usp.br.

are examples of *fasta*, but **fata*, the expected form, is not recorded in the Ibero-Romance languages.

- The geminate -*tt* normally becomes a -*t*-: the outcome of Spanish, i.e. -*st*-, is also irregular.
- The 'imāla phenomenon in Arabic could explain the sound é in até. Steiger (1932, p.258) mentioned Arabic transcriptions like haté, hatte, hatti, but in Spanish the vowel is always a.

The phonetic problems of *ad tenus*, which would naturally result **atēos* or **ateos*, were eliminated by a hypothetical vulgar expression *ad* **tenes*. In order to show the soundness of that starting point, very different arguments were considered: Nunes (1945, p.369) is convinced that *tenus* would have an archaic form **tenes* (LINDSAY, 1937), which amazingly survived in Portuguese. Silva Neto (1958) attenuated that claim by reasoning that *tenus* had become **tenes* by its blending with its synonym *fines*, very similar to what occurred with the etymon **finus* for the Italian *fino*, which is the opposite crossed form of *tenus*. If his arguments were accepted, the following presuppositions would have to be assumed:

- Fines and tenus would occur in a large area of Romania. In the Iberian Peninsula, fines is found only in Catalan fins, but nowhere else. No vestiges of tenus are found in Italian dialects. Thus, it is difficult to understand the change fines > *finus as a crossed form of a word which has not effectively been used. Moreover, tenus was a very rare word, even in Classic Latin. The complex form ad tenus was never found.
- From ad *tenes it is possible to explain forms like at ēes, but the dropping of the -s is very doubtful. Piel (1960, p.238) admitted that at ēes came from at a followed by the preposition en and the paragogic -s, which was developed from the analogy with expressions like (de)pois que, des que, os que, mais que. That seems to be right, because atas que occurs until the 16th Century. In the 13th Century one can easily find examples of mentres que, antes que. In the 17th Century there were phrases in Sardinian like innantis de que (MANOLIU, 1965, p. 343).
- An s-less form (*attene, from *ad tene) was proposed by Vasconcelos (1900, p.446), but the dropping of the final -s, which is always retained (for instance in the plural accusative of the nouns and in the singular second person of the verbs) cannot be explained.

The assimilation of *ata* $en > *at\tilde{a}e > at\tilde{e}e$ is not a problem. Silva Neto (1958, p.760) also acknowledges an *atoo* from *ata o*, and an *ateesta* from *ata esta*. The paragogic –s is very clear in other words like *trões*, which occurs in

the Cantigas de Santa Maria (METTMANN, 1959) (from now on CSM), and cannot be explained with both etymons. All those arguments eliminates the hypothesis of an extension of *tenes. Other Ibero-Romance forms like troa indicate that final -a or -en is another preposition, i.e. intro ad > troa, intro $in > *tro\tilde{e} >$ *trõe > trões. The complex preposition meaning "limit" are extremely regular in many languages: German has bis zu, bis in, bis an, bis auf, bis nach; French has jusqu'à, jusqu'en, jusque chez, jusque vers; in Romanian has până în, până la; Italian has fino a, fino in, fino da; modern Portuguese has até a.

To sum up, Silva Neto's (1958) arguments for justifying both etymons (the Arabic one for *ata*, *atá*, and the Latin one for *atēes*) are not valid. It is possible to accept a dialectal variation but the etymology is the same for both words.

There are also other variants, which have a close resemblance to the above mentioned *tro*. Silva Neto (1958, p.755) quoted *atro* and *atra*, which he assumes to be mixed forms between *tro* and *ata*. He also quoted crossed forms with final *-s*: *atāes*, *ataas*, *tães* (SILVA NETO, 1958, p.758).

So, if the crossed forms hypothesis is abandoned, it is possible to list a large number of theoretical variants (precisely 48) with or without an initial a-, with or without a nasalized vowel, with the vowels a, e and o, with or without a consonantal cluster -tr- instead of a -t-, with or without an ending -s. There are only combinations of -tr+o-, never *-t+o- (*ato, *atoe, *atos, *atoes, *to, *toe, *tos, *toes), and there is no -tr+e- (*atre, *atree, *atrees, *tree, *trees, *trees). Thus, the hypothetical forms can be reduced to 32 variants. In the *Cantigas de Santa Maria* it is possible to list 15 variants in 84 tokens. My attempt is to arrange all those forms in order to establish their origin, as the scheme at the end of this paper shows.

The following words have no nasalized vowel or –s: ata (40 times: 47.62%), ate (5 times: 5.95%), atro (twice: 2.38%). Without an initial *a*- there are; ta (8 times: 9.52%), te (once: 1.19%), tro (6 times: 7.14%), tra (twice: 2.38%). There is no atra.

With the nasalized vowel there are only: *atēe* (5 times: 5.95%) and *tēe* (once: 1.19%). There are no **atãe*, **atrõe*, **atrãe*, **tãe*, **trõe*, **trãe*. With the final -*s* there are: *atães* (once: 1.19%), *atēes* (4 times: 4.76%), *tēes* (once: 1.18%), *trões* (8 times: 9.52%). There are no *atas*, *atés*, **atros*, **tros*, **atras*, **tras*, **atrães*, **atrões*. Forms like *atras* and *tras* would be homonyms with the preposition which is originated from (*ad*) *trans*.

The commonest form is not always the most ancient. The ancientness of *tro* can be compared to that of *ata*, notwithstanding the distance between their token percentages. Therefore, if the most frequent form is not necessarily the

most ancient one, it is possible to suggest another starting hypothesis. If we say that *tra* is so ancient as *tro*, i.e. if *tra* is not considered a crossed word, then we will also be able to contemplate the survival of the pan-Romance *intra/ intro* pair in the Iberian Peninsula. Those forms would be the most ancient of all.

It is possible to deduce ta from tra, which was more often used than tro. Other examples of the -r- dropping in consonantal clusters are easily found: in the *Cantigas* there are two tokens of *ent* instead of *entre*: *ent'outros* (CSM 37:14), which also occurs in the *Corónica Troiana* and in spoken Asturian. The same can be said for *para* > *pra* > *pa* in colloquial Portuguese, Spanish, Mirandese and Asturian (MENÉNDEZ PIDAL, 1949, p.398; VASCONCELOS, 1900, p.446). That simplification should have happened very early: soon *tro* became soon an archaic word and because of that it hardly appears in other texts. It never developed a form *to. Therefore, we can find at the end of this period, three forms: *tra*, *ta*, *tro*. The speakers in the time of the writing of the *Cantigas* presumably felt *tra* more ancient than *tro*. For that reason, they replaced *tro*, from the manuscript *T*, by *tra*, in the manuscript E, in the verse CSM 94:33, to preserve an archaic flavor. The three forms *tra*, *ta* and *tro* were followed, from that time on, by the preposition *en*: *tra en* (50% of the tokens of *tra*), **ta en* and *tro en* (66.66% of the tokens of *tro*).

In the subsequent period, the apposition of an initial *a*- took place. That prosthetic *a*- is also jointed to other prepositions: *tras* and *atras* (respectively 20% and 80% in the *Cantigas de Santa Maria*) arose from Latin *trans*. The same can be said about *pós* and *após*, *diante* and *adiante*. That *a*- came from the Latin preposition *ad* and occurred in a large number of adverbs, just as *in*- or *de*-. If one admits that *tra* originated *ta*, the form *ata* is easily deductible from *a+ta*. There is also *atro*, but no examples of *atra*: this shows that, before the prosthetic forms, only *ta* and *tro* are effectively used. The form *inté* that is known in Brazilian and European Portuguese, and also in Mirandese (VASCONCELOS, 1900, p.446) comes from an *em+té* (like *empós* from *pós*). The prosthetic forms are also used with *en*: *ata en* and *atro en*.

Without a following preposition, *ata* occurs only 9 times in the *Cantigas*: six times with toponyms, twice with common nouns and once with the adverb "enton". With conjunctions, *ata* occurs once with "quando": *ata* quando de Deus tal sinal ouveren (CSM 309:27) and 24 times (60%) with "que". The phrase ta que appears in the majority of the tokens of ta (87.5%).

When those phrases were formed, tra and tro were effectively used only followed by en: tra en que a foi fazer (CSM 94:33); da cabeça tro ena verilla (CSM 19:28). The combinations with en correspond to 66.66% of those of tro and 15%

of those of *ata*. An evidence of that preposition combination is that there are no phrases like *tra que* or *tro que*. It is possible to list only the following ones: *ata que*, *ta que*, *ata en que*, *tra en que*, *tro en que*. The form *ata en que* easily became *atãe que* and *tro en que* converted into *trõe que*.

The change of -a > -e took place from the assimilation of a and the preposition en that followed ata or ta (ata en > ate en, ta en > te en): the only token of te in the *Cantigas* is: des Janua te en Charthes (CSM 379:21). The whole set of tokens of ate are followed by en. The only different solution is found in the verse ata na cima (CSM 203: 27). All tokens show that neither the vowel e nor the nasality can be attributed to Latin tenus. The assimilated e was subsequently related to até and no longer to en. Then: ata eno > ate eno > até eno > até no: até nos fundamentos (CSM 33:37).

Later, there appeared the forms with -s: atães que, atões que, tões que, trões que. The optional use of the preposition en occurs beside the inherited uses without it as in tra u se farte (CSM 366:61), ta o reyno (CSM prólogo), tro o mosteyro (CSM 94:77); ata setembro (CSM 333:51). The analogy also acted on trões in this only verse: trões o convent' a porta (CSM 59:84).

If the words *tro* and *tra* are really the most ancient and related to *até* not by crossing but by inheritance, it is necessary to assume that the etymons of both archaic words are not either Arabic *hatta* nor Latin *tenus*, but the pair *intra/intro*, found in all Romance languages. The semantic derivation "inwards" to "until" is undeniable in regard to *tro*. There is no reason to accept another etymon for *tra*.

The aphaeresis of *in-* of *intra* is not surprising: in Italian *infra* originated *fra* with a still more complicated semantic changing. The syllable *in-* was not specially stressed as other disyllable prepositions show: the form *pra* is only deducible from a **pará*, not from the *para*. The same is possible to say about *intra* and *infra*: they originated *tra* and *fra* respectively only through **intrá* and **infrá*.

But not all questions are answered: where does the Spanish word *hasta* come from? The most ancient form in this case is *fasta*. It is harder to solve it, and it is out of the scope of this paper, but if one admits that the preposition *hacia* comes from **faz(e) a* < Latin *faciem ad* through a grammaticalization process like Galician cara, it is perfectly acceptable that *fasta* could come from **faz(e) ta*. The initial stage **fazta* would become *fasta* through adaptations alike to that of Latin *amicitiam* > **amiztad* > *amistad*. Writing forms as *hadta* could reflect the interdental voiceless sound form / θ /, just as in *iudicare* > *judgar* > *juzgar*. Writing alternations with that special *ceceo* in words like *mezquino/mesquino* are common since the time of El Cid (MENÉNDEZ PIDAL, 1949, p.120,

198). Moreover, there are also dialectal forms such as *fastra* and *hastra* in Galician (CALERO, 1966, p.181; FERREIRO, 1996, p.361), which is thought to be a result a common Galician *st/str* alternation, but a * *faz(e) tra* could be also previewed.

In that way, both prepositions até and hasta would be related to each other not by a common Arabic origin, but by the same Latin word intra. That third etymology for até has the advantage of integrating Portuguese forms into the Vulgar Latin. A correlation de+ex(tra) ... intra was perhaps the source of its special meaning in the languages of the Iberian Peninsula (MENÉNDEZ PIDAL, 1950, p.375-376). Both previous hypotheses are very doubtful: hatta because of its exoticism and tenus because of its preciosity. The set of prepositions is formally very conservative, in regard to other morphological classes, as that of the conjunctions. It is difficult to assume that an Arabic preposition could be so pacifically integrated into this set. On the other hand, the semantic meanings of the prepositions are easily changeable because of the contextual phrases in which they can be used. It is also very unlikely that an archaic postposition (or, at least, rare even in literary contexts) like tenus would have had a so large diffusion in Portuguese as até did. When one deals with etymology, it is clear that exotic solutions or preciosities do not help, but just increase the unreliability of hypothetical forms.

Other forms found in manuscripts could cause some uncertainty: Menéndez Pidal reports the Leonese prepositions *adta*, *adte* in the 10th Century, which would be originated from *hatta*. That is improbable, due to historical reasons: they are certainly representations of the prosthetic forms. The same could be said of *ata* in Spanish, sometimes written *hata*, already in the *Glosas*, together with *troa* (MENÉNDEZ PIDAL, 1950, p.374-376). Precarious information about *fata* in Portuguese and Spanish (mentioned e.g. in MEYER-LÜBKE, 1935, §4077; LOKOTSCH, 1927, p.844) evidence how urgent more precise studies with statistical bases on philologically reliable editions are needed.

Regardless of the existence of preposition *intra* in practically all Romance languages, its principal meanings are the inherited "inwards, into" and the developed "in" and "towards" ones. Also etymons like *intus* and *intus* ad are acceptable in these forms: in Romanian, *în* and *întru* are positional variants. In Arromanian, there are *tu*, *ntu*, *ntru*, *tra*. In Megleno-romanian: *tri*, *tra*, *tru* (ROSSETTI, 1978, p.161-162; COTEANU, 1961, p.258-274). In Dalmatian, there was *ent* (BARTOLI, 1906, p.130). In Logodurese: *intro* (MEYER-LÜBKE, 1935, §4514). In Calabrese: *intra*, *nta*, *ntad*, *nt'*, *ind'*. In Sicilian: *intra*, *nt'*, *nd'*. Napoletan has *intro*, *indo*, *ind'*. Salernian, Lucanian and Apugliese: *inda*. In Toscan, there is *entro*, but in Dante Alighieri's Commedia one can find an expression like *intra tre soli* (Inf. 6:69). Umbrian has *entra* (archaic), *t'*, *nt'*. Roman has *nd'*. Romagnol: te. In San Marino, there is at. Bolognese and Lucchesan have ind'. In Genovese: int. In Venetian: nt', t', int'. In Istrian: nt', nd'. In Triestian: int'. Milanese: ind', int'. In Bergamo and Trento one says ind'. Lombardian has entro. int'. In Piemontese: enta, té, inter, nt', ant, nta, nt', nd' (ROHLFS, 1969, p.210, 221-224, 227-230, 259). Friulan has t'. Sobresselvan has enta, enten. Old Provencal has a lot of variants, some of them are said to be influenced by Latin usque, like French jusqu'à comes from usque ad (LEVY, 1902): tro que, tros que, tro enta, entro, entro a, entro en, entroca, entroga, entrogas, entrusca, entruscas, entruscas a, entrusques que, troi, truei, truesque, tro a, tro en, trosca. Gascon has enta, ta, enti, ent, ende, enda, nta, endà, andà, enà, tad, entò, entou, to (MISTRAL, 1932). In other Ibero-Romance languages similar forms are found everywhere: in Aragonese, enta, ta, troa, tro, entro (ROHLFS, 1935, p.36, 137; GIFFORD; HODCROFT, 1966; KONTZI, 1970, p.372-381). In Valencian, handa, anda. In Maestrazgo, one says hasda, handa. Old Catalan has an entrò and in the dialects of Huesca and Segria there is also an enta. Murcian has inda (COROMINAS, 1954, sub verbo "hasta").

All those forms evidence that *intra* and *intro* (perhaps also *intus* and *intus* ad) occur in all Romance languages. It is not necessary to realize more complex etymons. The prevalence of ata over against the other variants could be reinforced by the bilingualism with the Arabic but it is not the cause of it. Since the speakers already knew the form *hatta*, they had a tendency to prefer ata, that sounded alike, but the form ata is more ancient than that bilingualism situation, in which it is common to create associative etymologies, like French choucroute from Alsatian sûrkrût, or English crayfish from French crevice, or French contredanse, from English country dance. In the set of grammatical morphemes similar phenomena also occur: it is said that Romanian masculine vocative -e continues the Latin ending of the second Declension reinforced by Slavic masculine vocative -e. Such explanation made easier the acceptance of Slavic feminine vocative -o in Romanian. It is not impossible that ata and hasta acquired a semantic and syntactic similarity to Arabic hatta because of that linguistic adaptation, which began to occur in the 10th Century, i.e. about 300 years before the Cantigas.

VIARO, M. E. Uma terceira hipótese para a etimologia do *até* português. **Revista do GEL**, São Paulo, v.1, n.1, p.91-100, 2003.

■ ABSTRACT: Two hypotheses are current to explain the origin of the Portuguese preposition "até". The first one claims it is related to the Arabic hatta would attach it to Arabic, whereas the second one claims it is related to the rarely used Latin adverb "tenus". The new hypothesis – Latin "intro" / "intra" – presented in this paper has a larger distribution within Romance languages and, furthermore, it can solve some irregular diachronic phonetic aspects of both explanations.

• KEYWORDS: Historical linguistics; preposition; Portuguese.

References

ALIGHIERI, D. Divina commedia: inferno, purgatorio, paradiso. Roma: Newton, 1993.

BARTOLI, M. G. **Das Dalmatische**: altromanische Sprachreste von Veglia bis Ragusa und ihre Stellung in der apennino-balkanischen Romania. Wien: A. Hölder, 1906.

CALERO, R. Gramática elemental del gallego común. Vigo: Galaxia, 1966.

COROMINAS, J. **Diccionario critico etimológico de la lengua castellana**. Madrid: Gredos, 1954.

COTEANU, I. **Elemente de dialectologie a limbii române**. Bucuresti: Stiintifica, 1961.

GIFFORD, D. J.; HODCROFT, F. W. **Textos lingüísticos del medievo español**. Oxford: Dolphin, 1966.

FERREIRO, M. Gramática histórica galega. La Coruña: Laiovento, 1996.

HUBER, J. **Gramática do português arcaico**. Lisboa: Calouste Gulbenkian, 1986.

KONTZI, R. Ist die aragonische Präposition *enta* ein Arabismus? **Zeitschrift für romanische Philologie**, Halle: Niemeyer, v.86, p.372-381, 1970.

LEVY, E. **Provenzalisches Supplement-Wörterbuch**: Berichtigungen und Ergänzungen zu Raynouards Lexique Roman. Leipzig: O. R. Reisland, 1902.

LINDSAY, W. M. Short historical Latin grammar. Oxford: at the Clarendon, 1937.

LOKOTSCH, K. Etymologisches Wörterbuch der europäischen (germanischen, romanischen und slavischen) Wörter orientalischen Ursprungs. Heidelberg: Carl Winter, 1927. LOPES, D. **Textos em aljamia portuguesa**: estudo filológico e histórico. Lisboa: Nacional, 1940.

MACHADO, J. P. **Dicionário etimológico da língua portuguesa**. Lisboa: Confluência, 1967.

MANOLIU, M. Limba Sarda. In: IORDAN, J. **Crestomatie romanica**: secolele al XVII-lea – al XVII-lea. Bucuresti: Academiei Republicii Populare Române, 1965. p.327-365.

MENÉNDEZ PIDAL, R. **Manual de gramática histórica española**. Madrid: Espasa, 1949.

_____. **Orígenes del español**: estado lingüístico de la Península Ibérica hasta el siglo XI. Madrid: Espasa-Calpe, 1950.

METTMANN, W. (Ed.). **Cantigas de Santa Maria**. Coimbra: Por ordem da Universidade, 1959.

MEYER-LÜBKE, W. Romanisches etymologisches Wörterbuch. Heidelberg: Carl Winter, 1935.

MISTRAL, F. Lou tresor dóu felibridge ou dictionnaire provençal-français. Paris: Delagrave, 1932. 2v.

NUNES, J. J. **Compêndio de gramática histórica portuguesa**. Lisboa: Clássica, 1945.

PIEL, J. M. Buchbesprechung: Etymologica – Walter von Wartburg zum siebzigsten Geburtstag. **Romanistisches Jahrbuch**. Hamburg: Romanisches Seminar / De Gruyter, v.11, p.227-240, 1960.

ROHLFS, G. **Grammatica storica della lingua italiana i dei suoi dialetti**: sintassi e formazione delle parole. Torino: Einaudi, 1969.

_____. Le gascon: études de philologie pyrénéenne. **Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für romanische Philologie**, Halle, Saale: Niemeyer, v.85, p.1-190, 1935.

ROSSETTI, A. Istoria limbii române. Bucuresti: Stiintifica, 1978.

SILVA NETO, S. da. História da preposição portuguesa até. In: KELLER, H.-E. (Ed.). **Etymologica – Walther von Waltburg zum siebzigsten Geburtstag**. Tübingen: Niemeyer, p.751-761, 1958.

STEIGER, A. Contribución a la fonética del hispano-árabe y de los arabismos en el ibero-románico y el siciliano. Madrid: Hernando, 1932.

VASCONCELOS, J. L. Estudos de philologia mirandesa. Lisboa: Nacional, 1900.

VIARO, M. E. Sobre a presença de tenus no Ibero-românico. **Confluência:** Boletim do Departamento de Lingüística, Assis, v.1, p.269-277, 1993.